

VBS Selection Final Scoring Matrix

Southern Utah University - South Hall Demolition and Replacement Facility - CM/GC Selection

DFCM Project No. 03003730

20 February 2003

Selection Criteria	S			O			U			T			H		
Past Performance Rating	5	4.6	3.25	5	4.8	3.9	5	4.9	5	5	4.8	3.4	5	4.5	4
Strength of Team	4	3.8	3.2	4	4.5	3.5	5	4.8	5	5	4.6	3	4.5	4.2	3.7
Project Management Approach	4	4.2	2.7	4	4.8	3.4	5	5	4.7	5	5	3.5	4	5	3.5
Ability to Work With Preselected Architect	4	4.5	3.4	4	5	4.1	5	5	4.5	5	4.8	3.3	4	4.6	3.5
Ability and Plan to Meet Accelerated Construction Schedule and Occupancy Date	4	4.2	3.7	4	4.1	4	5	4.9	4.75	5	4.9	4	4	4.4	4
Plan to Address Soil Concerns That May Arise Once a Site Has Been Finalized on Campus	5	4.2	3	5	5	4	5	4.9	4	5	4.8	3	5	4.3	3
Ability to Work On An Existing Campus Site and Minimize the Impact to the Facility	5	4.5	3	5	5	3.8	5	5	4.7	5	5	4	5	4.6	4

JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT FOR CONTRACT AWARD

Southern Utah University
South Hall Demolition and Replacement Facility
DFCM Project No. 03003730

The South Hall selection committee was very impressed with each of the proposing contractors and expresses its appreciation to them for their efforts and interest in the project. Per the selection criteria, the committee resolved to rank Jacobsen Construction's proposal as the best value proposal for the following reasons:

- Jacobsen had a strong team that had significant experience with CMGC projects. The project manager and superintendent reside in southern Utah, are familiar with local subcontractors, and have worked together on projects valuing several million dollars.
- The team amply demonstrated their ability and commitment to work and partner with the design consultant, especially during the critical preconstruction phase, to realize the project's tight budget and compressed schedule.
- Jacobsen presented an efficient management plan for obtaining qualified subcontractors, bidding the work in timely manner – including long lead items, and achieving a high quality product.
- The team submitted a proposal with one of the lowest costs.
- The team effectively demonstrated their knowledge of the campus, site, soils conditions, and necessary measures to maintain public safety.

FINAL RANKING

1. U
2. O
3. H
4. T
5. S

**DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
DIVISION OF FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT**

PROPOSALS COVERING:

DATE: February 11, 2003

SOUTH HALL DEMOLITION AND REPLACEMENT FACILITY – CM/GC
SOUTHERN UTAH UNIVERSITY
CEDAR CITY, UTAH

DFCM Project No: 02003730
Construction Budget: \$1,200,000

CONTRACTOR	BID SEC	ADD REC	PRE-CONST PERIOD WORK	CONST PHASE MANAGEMENT SERVICES	SUPERVISION & SUPPORT TEAM / MTH	CHANGE ORDER PERCENTAGE	SELF PERFORMED WORK PERCENTAGE
S	BB	-	\$18,000	\$58,000	\$12,000	5%	10%
O	BB	-	5,000	50,000	5,800	15%	2.5%
U	BB	-	2,500	35,000	10,000	10%	12%
T	BB	-	6,308	102,894	6,308	3%	0%
H	BB	-	11,460	42,000	7,800	4%	12%

I hereby certify this to be a true and exact
tabulation of proposals received for the above named
project on February 11, 2003 at 4:00 p.m.

Susan L. Smith - Contract Coordinator